Planning Committee

Appeal Decisions

The following decisions have been made by the Planning Inspectorate on appeals arising from decisions of the City

Application Number Appeal Site Appeal Proposal	10/00122/ADV UNIT A2, PETS AT HOME LTD, FRIARY RETAIL PARK EXETER STREET PLYMOUTH Two non- illuminated fascia signs
Case Officer	Thomas Westrope
Appeal Category Appeal Type Appeal Decision Appeal Decision Date Conditions	Written Representations Allowed 02/08/2010
Award of Costs	Awarded To

Appeal Synopsis

The Planning Authority's view was that the proposed signs would result in an unacceptable loss of amenity to the surrounding area as they would cause advertisement clutter. The inspector found that the non-illuminated fascia signs proposed would not be harmful to the character or appearance of the area and would therefore not conflict with policies CS02 or CS34. The inspector's view was that the facade of the unit is reasonably spacious and uncluttered and the proposed advertisements will not harm amenity.

Application Number	10/01303/FUL
Appeal Site	33 GRANTHAM CLOSE PLYMOUTH
Appeal Proposal	Two-storey rear extension and single-storey lean-to to side
Case Officer	Kate Saunders
Appeal Category	REF
Appeal Type	Written Representations
Appeal Decision	Allowed
Appeal Decision Date	30/11/2010
Conditions	
Award of Costs	Awarded To

Appeal Synopsis

The inspector disagreed with the council's decision that the proposal would have a harmful impact on neighbouring properties. The Inspector gave particular weight to the neighbouring extension at No.32 minimising the impact on that property. The appeal was allowed with a matching materials and no further windows condition.

Application Number Appeal Site Appeal Proposal Case Officer	09/01869/FUL 67 LOWER PARK DRIVE Retention of car port Stuart Anderson	PLYMOUTH
Appeal Category Appeal Type Appeal Decision Appeal Decision Date Conditions	Written Representations Dismissed 09/11/2010	
Award of Costs		Awarded 1

То

Appeal Synopsis

Inspector noted that there is a defining sense of openess to the area which is emphasised further by the general absence of structures within the front gardens. He considered that the carport is an unforgiving, utilitarian, and alien structure which disrupts the regularity of the housing layout and reduces the spacious feel of the area.